

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2006) KLR VOL 9 PART 225 pp. 3227 - 3472

SEPTEMBER 2006

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227
2. Akinbisade v. State p. 3305
3. Dada v. Dosunmu p. 3343
4. Umanah v. Attah p. 3393

COURT OF APPEAL

1. Igwe Uzur & Sons Ltd v. Onwuzor p. 3427 CA
2. Ikpana v. The Registered Trustees of the Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

{Note: No SC judgment in Oct. & Nov., so no vols 10 & 11 KLR.
Next and last for 2006 is Dec. vol 12 Pt. 226 KLR}

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2006) 9 KLR

ACTIONS - Cause of action - Election Petition - As shown clearly by plaintiff's claim - Subject matter of the suit is election petition - Already concluded by Court of Appeal - Federal High Court was therefore right - To decline jurisdiction (H3) Umanah v. Attah p. 3393

ACTIONS - Claims - Inelegant drafting of - Though Respondent's claim is inelegantly drafted - He is entitled to the relief sought - As courts aim at doing substantial justice - Without undue adherence to technicalities (H4) Dada v. Dosunmu p. 3343

ACTIONS - Locus standi - Companies - Tribunal of Inquiry - Where set up to investigate matters - Affecting the shares of a limited liability company - Respondent companies have locus to sue - As the decision of the Tribunal may affect them (H1) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

APPEALS - Briefs - Reply - O.6 r. 5 Court of Appeal Rules - Reply affords appellant opportunity - To respond to new points arising from Respondent's brief - It is not mandatory - As claimed by the Appellant (H5) Dada v. Dosunmu p. 3343

APPEALS - Issues - Basis of judgment - Appealed against - Parties are obliged - To restrict their complaints - To the basis of judgment appealed against - Which in this case is the issue of jurisdiction (H1) Umanah v. Attah p. 3393

APPEALS - Issues - Competence - An issue that is not backed by any ground of appeal - Is incompetent - And will be struck out (H6) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

APPEALS - Issues - Concurrent findings - Tribunal of Inquiry - Question of whether it is a quasi-judicial body - Is one of fact - That was concurrently determined by the two lower courts - And no cause was shown to warrant a departure - From the concurrent findings (H2) A-G

Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Against cross appellant's ground 1 - As being based on obiter dictum - And being a new issue requiring leave of court - Is not substantiated (H7) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

COMPANY LAW - Shares - Company and Allied Matters Act - Matters arising therefrom - Fall within Federal High Court's exclusive jurisdiction - And any State legislation on such matters - Is unconstitutional (H5) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

COMPANY LAW - Shares - Constitutional law - Where State Government has lost its status - Of majority shareholder in a company - Staff of and that company - Ceased to be part of that State's public sector - And are out of reach of a Tribunal of Inquiry (H9) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Dictatorship - Lagos State Tribunals of Inquiry Law - Section of it - That made no provision for review or appeal - Is an enthronement of dictatorship - And glaringly unconstitutional (H4) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Rule of law - Accountability - The desire to enhance accountability - In the psyche of the nation - Should not authorize State organs - To act beyond their constitutional limits (H10) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

COURTS - Issues - Raised by parties - Courts are not bound - To answer any question raised by litigants - Unless the point is necessary and material - For resolution of the case before it - As courts do not engage in academic exercise (H2) Umanah v. Attah p. 3393

COURTS - Judicial review - Tribunals - As rules of natural justice - Are

now to be observed by all bodies - It is no longer necessary - Differentiating between their nature - As all tribunals are to observe rules of natural justice - Be they judicial or executive bodies (H3) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Uttering a bank cheque - Expert opinion - Appellant's move to rely on only favourable part of the Exhibit - Is plain mischief - As the entire document must be interpreted as a whole (H5) Akinbisade v. State p. 3305

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Uttering a document - Proof - Where there is no evidence of a handwriting expert - Court can rely on strong circumstantial evidence (H4) Akinbisade v. State p. 3305

EVIDENCE - Criminal procedure - Expert opinion - Or direct evidence - Where lacking on a subject - Trial court can rely on cogent inferential evidence (H3) Akinbisade v. State p. 3305

EVIDENCE - Reliability - Documents - Stealing - Proof - Documentary evidence being most reliable - Abound in this case - To prove ownership of the stolen money (H2) Akinbisade v. State p. 3305

JUDGMENTS - Appeals - Land matters - Judgment of trial court - Was clearly perverse - For being contrary - To evidence on record - Particularly on the issue of identity of the land in dispute - As held by Court of Appeal (H3) Dada v. Dosunmu p. 3343

JUDGMENTS - Setting aside of - Obiter dictum - An obiter dictum - Cannot form the basis - For setting aside a judgment - As it is not the basis - Or the ratio decidendi of the judgment (H4) Umanah v. Attah p. 3393

LAND LAW - Claim - Title - Boundary - Scope - To ascertain plaintiff's claim - It is necessary to examine - Not only the writ and statement of claim - But also plans filed along - With the statement of claim (H2) Dada v.

Dosunmu p. 3343

LAND LAW - Title - Duty of plaintiff - Plaintiff who claims declaration of title - Must prove title - To clearly defined area - To which the declaration can be attached (H1) Dada v. Dosunmu p. 3343

LEGISLATION - Companies - Shares - Legislative competence of a State - Where a State Law mandates a Tribunal of Inquiry - To carry out a function outside the Governor's legislative competence - That law is ultra vires (H8) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

STEALING - Proof - Ownership of money stolen - Was clearly proved - To belong to Ogun State Government - As properly found by the two lower courts (H1) Akinbisade v. State p. 3305

COURT OF APPEAL

ACTIONS - Competence - Irregularity - Where Court Registrar failed to endorse the Writ of Summons - The error which is not fundamental - Cannot invalidate the Writ or vitiate the proceedings - And respondent is not responsible for the irregularity (H2) Igwe Uzur & Sons Ltd v. Onwuzor p. 3427 CA

ACTIONS - Issues - Suo motu raising of - Roles of counsel and the judge - Where an issue was raised by counsel - Trial judge is at liberty - To search out and rely on any relevant law - In determining the case (H4) Igwe Uzur & Sons Ltd v. Onwuzor p. 3427 CA

APPEALS - Issues - Leave - Fresh issue - Where raised without leave of court - And it is not supported by the evidence - It will be struck out as incompetent (H8) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

vi INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2006) 9 KLR CA

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Right to counsel of one's choice - Avails only in criminal proceedings - As court can interfere with choice of counsel - In civil cases where appropriate (H1) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

COURTS - Issues - Rules of Court - Where referred to by both counsel - Trial Judge's expressed view - Is not suo motu raising of issue - And his holding should not be misunderstood (H5) Igwe Uzur & Sons Ltd v. Onwuzor p. 3427 CA

EQUITY - Delay - In filing motion to oppose appearance of counsel - Amounts to waiver - As equity helps only the vigilant (H6) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

EVIDENCE - Affidavits - Oral evidence - Where there is conflict in the parties' affidavits - Trial court should call for oral evidence (H4) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

EVIDENCE - Proof - Affidavits - Further affidavit - Where necessary but was not filed - Burden of proof is not discharged - And the fact in issue is deemed admitted (H5) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Duty of counsel - To disclose interest in a matter - Under s. 10(a) Rules of Professional Conduct - Is towards his own client - The section was wrongfully relied upon - By the trial court (H7) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Parties - Employing services of a counsel - Will be stopped by court - Where that counsel has acted for the opposing party - In that same transaction (H3) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Probate - Procedural requirement - Since main

object of Rules & Procedure - Is for the attainment of substantial justice - Harmless irregularity - Cannot render the entire suit incompetent (H3) Igwe Uzur & Sons Ltd v. Onwuzor p. 3427 CA

STATUTES - Plain words - Of the Statute or Constitution - Should be given their natural meaning - So that s. 36(3)(c) 1999 Constitution - On right to counsel of one's choice - Applies only to criminal cases (H2) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

WRIT OF SUMMONS - Issuance of - Duties - Plaintiff has the duty to apply - And pay the necessary fees - While issuance of the Writ of Summons - Is the Court Registrar's duty (H1) Igwe Uzur & Sons Ltd v. Onwuzor p. 3427 CA

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Company and Allied Matters Act, 1990 ss. 37, 151-157 A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 S. 251(e) A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, ss. 246, 251, 285 and 308 Umanah v. Attah p. 3393

Criminal Code of Ogun State 1978 ss. 1, 7, 390 and 468 Akinbisade v. State p. 3305

Tribunals of Inquiry Law, Cap. 190 Laws of Lagos State 1994 Ss. 1, 12, 14 and 20 A-G Lagos State v. Eko Hotels Ltd p. 3227

COURT OF APPEAL

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, S. 36(1)(b)(c), 3(c) and (6)(c) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

Evidence Act, Cap. 112, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 1990, S. 137 Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

Imo State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 1988, as applicable to Ebonyi State O.2 r.1(1), O.5 rr.1 & 8(1) Igwe Uzur & Sons Ltd v. Onwuzor p. 3427 CA

Legal Practitioners Act, No. 33, 1962, S.7 Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA

Rules of Professional Conduct in the Legal Profession, 1997, r. 10(a) & (b) Ikpana v. Reg. Trustees Presbyterian Church of Nigeria p. 3441 CA